



November 5, 2001

Ms. Elizabeth West  
Senior Personnel Attorney  
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR2001-5060

Dear Ms. West:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 154363.

The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (the "commission") received a written request for "the application, questions and answers during the interview for [the individual] hired for the Agronomist position." You state that the commission has released some information to the requestor. You contend, however, that certain interview questions and the accompanying answers are excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.122 of the Government Code.

Section 552.122(b) of the Government Code protects a "test item developed by a . . . governmental body." Section 552.122(b) is applicable only where the test item constitutes a "standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated." Additionally, when answers to test questions might reveal the questions themselves, the answers may be withheld under section 552.122(b). *See* Open Records Decision No. 626 at 8 (1994). This exception does not apply to evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. *See id.* at 6. Whether information falls within the section 552.122(b) exception must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See id.*

After reviewing the interview questions at issue, we agree that the following questions and preferred and actual answers, or portions thereof, constitute a standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated: Technical questions 12-15, Agronomist questions 11-17, 20-25, and NUP questions 1-10. However, we have marked the information contained in Agronomist question 13 that the commission must release to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Steven Bohl". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "J." and last name "Bohl" clearly distinguishable.

J. Steven Bohl  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JSB/RWP/sdk

Ref: ID# 154363

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Ida Parmer  
TNRCC-Region 9/Waco  
6801 Sanger Avenue, Suite 2500  
Waco, Texas 76710-7826  
(w/o enclosures)